

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,179	08/20/2003	David T. Ross	457390	5706
27717 75	590 12/02/2005		EXAMINER	
SEYFARTH S	SHAW LLP		TRAN, HA	NH VAN
55 EAST MON	ROE STREET			
SUITE 4200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			3637	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/644,179	ROSS, DAVID T.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Hanh V. Tran	3637
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LOG - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror - If NO period for reply is sp - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	NGER, FROM THE MAILING (available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 in the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period et or extended period for reply will, by statu	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tire of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is I 3) ☐ Since this app	ication is in condition for allow	August 2003. is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	_is/are rejected.	awn from consideration.	
	en in chicated to by the Evernin		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dr	ot request that any objection to the awing sheet(s) including the corre	cepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is obecaminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119		
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications.	ome * c) None of: copies of the priority documer copies of the priority documer of the certified copies of the pri on from the International Bure	nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References C	ted (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I comprises figures 2-5; Species II comprises figure 6; and Species III comprises figures 7-8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3637

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Harold Stotland on Monday, November 28, 2005 to notify applicant's representative to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,179 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVT

November 28, 2005

Hanh V. Tran

Art Unit 3637